National Judicial Academy

P-1355: Workshop for High Court Justices on Information and Communication Technology (ICT)
20th August, 2023

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Paiker Nasir and Ms. Nitika Jain
No. of Participants : 28
No. of forms received : 25

	I. OVERALL			
PROPOSITION	**To a great extent (%)**	**To some extent (%)**	**Not at all (%)**	**Remarks**
a. The objective of the programme was clear to me	**100.00**	**-**	**-**	-
b. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work	**88.00**	**12.00**	**-**	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this programme	**96.00**	**4.00**	**-**	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	**92.00**	**8.00**	**-**	25. The subject matter of the programme is useful and relevant to my work.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	**92.00**	**8.00**	**-**	25. Adequate time and opportunity was given to me.
	II. KNOWLEDGE			
PROPOSITION	**To a great extent (%)**	**To some extent (%)**	**Not at all (%)**	**Remarks**
The programme provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	**88.00**	**12.00**	**-**	25. The programme is useful as it provided sufficient knowledge on live streaming of courts.
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	**68.42**	**31.58**	**-**	25. Useful to modern technology (ICT).
c. Up to date	**82.61**	**17.39**	**-**	**-**
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	**52.63**	**47.37**	**-**	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	**57.89**	**26.32**	**15.79**	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME

PROPOSITION	Good (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Unsatisfactory (%)	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the programme was logical	92.00	8.00	-	6. Topic of AI should be kept at the beginning. 7. AI should have been kept as 1st topic for discussion so that participants may get sufficient time. 25. The programme provided the importance of use of technology in courts.
b. The programme was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	75.00	25.00	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	96.00	4.00	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	84.00	16.00	-	-

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

Parameters

Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)	Effective and Useful (%)	Satisfactory (%)
1	100.00	-	100.00	-
2	86.96	13.04	93.33	6.67
3	90.91	9.09	92.86	7.14

V. PROGRAMME MATERIALS

PROPOSITION	To a great extent (%)	To some extent (%)	Not at all (%)	Remarks
a. The Programme material is useful and relevant	96.00	4.00	-	23. Was pain stakingly compiled.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	90.91	9.09	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	78.26	21.74	-	-

2

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	1. Give an in-depth knowledge of technology in day-to-day functioning in courts.
	3. Notice can be introduced reduce time of service of notice.
	4. Informative. Sharing of ideas with the Hon'ble Judge of other High Courts. Dispensation of the intricacies involved.
	5. 1. Block chain/Data protection. 2. Optimum utilization of resources and man power. 3. Effective use of technology for filing and hearing of appeals.
	6. Court management. To create infrastructure for best use of hardware. To prepare and have mindset to go paperless.
	8. Counter interruption with technological development in administering judicial dispensation.
	9. Interactive sessions are very beneficial.
	10. Technology. Speedy justice. Delay in reducing justice could be continued.
	11. About- Paperless court. Live streaming. Artificial intelligence.
	13. Use of AI- Advantages – Disadvantages.
	15. Comprehensive information about information and communication technology. Paperless court is a possible aim. Pros & cons of artificial intelligence.
	17. Broadened My perspective on using AI in better management of judicial systems.
	18. Sharing of best practices in different high courts. Interactive. Got further ideas on AI.
	19. Importance of bridging digital divides for better implementation of e-court projects. Technique for assessing of judges. Learnt various apps/platforms relates to court and judicial process.
	20. Case management, Live streaming and Artificial intelligence.
	21. Could visualize the emerging changes in litigation process in the country.
	22. 1. Reduce digital divide. 2. Chose alternative platforms for live streaming. 3. Timeline for case management system.
	23. 1. Potential use of ICT for programmes appraisal of judicial officers. 2. Safeguard live streaming. 3. Forward planning to utilize allotted budget.
	25. This programme was useful and important as I had an opportunity of knowing the relevant topics on e-committee, e-filing and live streaming in the courts.
2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	1. *Session 3: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its Implications as Prospective Courtroom Technology.*
	3. *Session 3: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its Implications as Prospective Courtroom Technology.* The future of AI in dispensation of justice.
	4. The third session was useful and interesting particularly on the issue that artificial intelligence is the simulation of human intelligence processes.
	5. AI. It is at a nebulous stage and discussion was useful as it emphases the usefulness and risks provided.
	6. All sessions were useful.
	8. All time as all 3 phases are insert. Twined and interlinked.
	9. Interactive sessions.
	10. Kerala model as it covers most of the space of judicial system.

		11. Advantage & disadvantages of live streaming.
		13. AI in court processes.
		17. Use of AI tool in better management of judicial services.
		19. E- filing techniques and embracing paperless courts.
		21. Found the sessions to be useful.
		22. All of the above & complement machine scrutiny of cases filed – like best application etc.
		23. Integrating of case management system with performance appraisal.
3.	Does the programme need further modulations or change	4. Yes as because technological innovations are ever expanding.
		5. Emphasis on data privacy and security.
		6. *Session 3: Artificial Intelligence (AI) and its Implications as Prospective Courtroom Technology.* - Relating to AI should be kept earlier as related to current topic and we get less time for interaction.
		8. NJA may consider increasing the time of each phase.
		9. The presentation of the resource persons should be provided to us.
		10. How to make awareness among litigant and remote areas. Efficient officers must be posted at remote places.
		21. Particularly. The discussion on the topic needs in-depth modulation. Through subject experts in the AI and IT fields.
		22. Specific case studies may be helpful.
		23. To ensure the discussion here to be carried to respective high courts.
4.	Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	1. Time period is too short.
		4. Summarized study material may be furnished about two weeks prior to the programme.
		5. Further discussion on live streaming and AI.
		6. By increasing the interactive sessions an current changes and challenges which judiciary is facing.
		9. The presentation of the resource persons should be provided to us.
		17. Can these programmes be online to broaden the access base to judges who may not be able to travel to Bhopal or might be reluctant to physically interact, yet want to gain knowledge.
		18. The present programme was quite effective.
		21. Artificial intelligence is a field which would help in mitigating the huge docket explosion in the country. Such programmes should be organized.
		22. Perhaps include a module for data protection and data retrieval in case of cyber-attack.
		23. The IT committee of each high courts have more than three members. So, the online link can be shared with other IT committee who are not able to attend physically.
		25. NJA should focus for arrangements of direct flights, so that the judges return to their respective courts on the same day and attend the court as next day of the conference is a working day.